USEFUL FIELD OF VIEW IMPAIRMENT IN PARTIAL EPILEPSY
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Summary: Patients with epilepsy are at elevated risk for automobile crashes. Most collisions in drivers with epilepsy are not seizure-related, but may instead result from cognitive effects of epilepsy and antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) upon driving performance. The Useful Field of View (UFOV) score has demonstrated good sensitivity and specificity for predicting automobile crashes. The goal in this pilot study was to assess impairments in the UFOV in subjects with partial epilepsy. Participants included 20 subjects with partial epilepsy. Neurologically normal control subjects of comparable age also participated. UFOV was assessed in all participants using the Visual Attention Analyzer, Model 3000 (Visual Resources, Inc.). UFOV Task scores were added to calculate a UFOV Total score for each subject. UFOV scores were higher on all UFOV tasks in subjects with partial epilepsy compared to neurologically normal individuals of similar age (p<0.05, Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test), suggesting a greater crash risk in individuals with partial epilepsy, even in the absence of an epileptic seizure. Causes of impaired UFOV scores include processing speed reduction, divided and selective attention impairments, and mild postoperative visual field deficits. Our ongoing studies in drivers with epilepsy are aimed at further differentiating potential effects of seizures, antiepileptic drugs, and surgical lesions upon cognitive abilities that are critical to safe automobile driving.

INTRODUCTION

Epilepsy is a common medical disorder characterized by recurrent spontaneous seizures that may impair social function by compromising activities of daily living. More than two million individuals in the United States have epilepsy (Hauser, 1990). One of the main concerns in epilepsy is fitness to drive, which strongly affects a patient’s independence and employment opportunities (Reeves, 1997). Patients with ongoing seizures may have legal or medical restrictions on driving privileges, but patients with seizure-free intervals lasting several months or longer may regain driving privileges in many states (Fisher, 1004; Rizzo, 1996).

Drivers with epilepsy have long been a focus of public safety concern due to increased risk for motor vehicle crashes (Hansotia, 1991; 1993; Lings, 2001). Previous studies of driving and epilepsy have largely focused on the risk of seizure occurrence while driving (Andermann, 1988), and complex partial seizures have raised special concerns (Gastaut, 1987). Yet, most crashes by drivers with epilepsy are not seizure-related (Janz, 1967) and may be caused by the effects of other epilepsy-related factors upon driver performance. Safe driving requires the coordinated contribution of several cognitive processes, including attention, perception, memory, and executive function (decision making and implementation) as well as complex coordinated

Risk factors for increased collision risk in drivers with epilepsy include co-morbid psychiatric disorders and alcohol abuse (Hansotia, 1993). Epilepsy-related factors likely to affect driving performance include cognitive deficits associated with brain lesions causing the patient’s epilepsy and the effects of antiepileptic drug effects on visual and cognitive functions that are necessary for safe driving, such as perception, attention, processing speed, decision making, and motor agility (Seppala, 1979; Meador, 1995; 1998). Objective predictors of collision risk would allow for better counseling of drivers with epilepsy.

We hypothesized that drivers with epilepsy have cognitive impairments associated with increased crash risk. The goal of this pilot study was to determine whether attention factors that are critical to safe driving are impaired in drivers with partial epilepsy. In particular, the Useful Field of View (UFOV) provides a quantitative index of divided and spatial attention and visual processing speed, and UFOV impairments predict an elevated crash risk in state records and in simulated collision avoidance scenarios (Ball, 1991; 1993; Owlsey, 1991; Rizzo, 1997; 2001).

**OBJECTIVES**

The goal in this pilot study was to assess impairments in the UFOV in subjects with partial epilepsy.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

Participants included 20 subjects with partial epilepsy, aged 21-61 years (mean=41.5), including 9 men and 11 women. Eighteen had temporal lobe epilepsy; 12 of these had previous anterior temporal lobectomy (ATL) surgery (5 right ATL and 7 left ATL). One participant had a left hemispheric posterior quadrant multilobar cortical dysplasia, and another had non-lesional centro-temporal epilepsy. No subject had hemispatial neglect. Neurologically normal control subjects of comparable age (mean age 39.3, range 24-56 years) also participated.

**Technique**

Visual field assessment for each eye was performed using a standardized automated technique (Humphrey Frequency Doubler, C-20-5 test). UFOV was assessed in all participants using the Visual Attention Analyzer, Model 3000 (Visual Resources, Inc.). Briefly, Task 1 measures how fast a subject can identify a single object (the silhouette of a car or truck) presented at central fixation. Task 2, of divided attention, requires the subject to identify the central fixation target while also identifying a peripheral visual target. Task 3, of selective attention, is the same as Task 2 except the peripheral target is surrounded by distracter shapes. Task 4 is a selective attention task like Task 3, but presents a more difficult central task (same/different discrimination). The dependent measure in each task is a threshold score (ms) at which a participant can achieve 75% correct target identification. Higher scores indicate poorer
performance. UFOV Task scores were added to calculate a UFOV Total score for Tasks 1-3 (Edwards, 2005), as well as for Tasks 1-4, for each subject.

RESULTS

Visual field assessment showed that most ATL subjects had evidence of subtle visual field loss (i.e., incongruous homonymous superior quadrantanopia, sparing the macula). There was no significant difference between age in epilepsy subjects and neurologically normal control subjects (p=0.8799).

UFOV scores were higher on all UFOV tasks in subjects with partial epilepsy (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test), indicative of impairments of processing speed, divided attention, and selective attention (see Table 1).

Table1. Useful Field of View Scores in Partial Epilepsy and Neurologically Normal Control Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Useful Field of View (msec)</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UFOV Task 1</td>
<td>24.8 (36.6)</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UFOV Task 2</td>
<td>55.1 (75.4)</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UFOV Task 3</td>
<td>147.1 (101.5)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UFOV Task 4</td>
<td>269.9 (96.2)</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UFOV Total Tasks 1 – 3</td>
<td>226.9 (193.8)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UFOV Total Tasks 1 – 4</td>
<td>496.8 (273.6)</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy Subjects</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20F, 9M</td>
<td>16.0 (0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Subjects</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25F, 25M</td>
<td>24.9 (31.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81.3 (48.5)</td>
<td>206.9 (76.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>222.2 (76.5)</td>
<td>329.1 (141.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD: Standard Deviation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Attention is of central importance to driving and may be impaired in advancing age and neurological disorders, including focal cerebral lesions (Rizzo, 2001) and especially in Alzheimer’s Disease (Owsley, 1991; Rizzo, 2000). Deficits in attention have been clearly associated with driving performance problems (Ball, 1993; Owsley, 1991; Parasuraman, 1991; Rizzo, 1997; 2001). This study shows deficits of attention in the interictal period in drivers with epilepsy.

Individuals with deficits in attention are more likely than normal drivers to commit errors that cause motor vehicle crashes. Attention is a set of mechanisms allowing the mind to focus on a stimulus or objective, while excluding other internal or environmental stimuli (Vecera and Rizzo, 2004). Two alternative mental processes, one “automatic,” the other “controlled,” allow the ability to switch, sustain, or divide attention (Parasuraman, 1984). Automatic processes are fast, involuntary, and subconscious, and are likely allow drivers to maintain lateral and longitudinal vehicular control during uneventful driving (McClean, 1973; Godthelp, 1984). Defects in
automatic attention can reflect drowsiness or distraction by an increased mental workload or divided attention (Dingus, 1989). Controlled attention processes are slow and involve conscious decision-making in response to demanding tasks. Driving behaviors that utilize controlled processes include maneuvering through intersections, lane changing in traffic, driving while performing onboard tasks and while checking the vehicle’s mirrors or blind spots.

The main forms of attention include: (1) spatial attention, which determines selection of a stimulus based on position in space; (2) object-based attention, which allows stimulus selection by identification of a stimulus; (3) attentional selection in working memory, for selecting items necessary for later recall and memory encoding; and (4) executive attention, where attention facilitates the individual’s choice in performance of a task or behavior (Vecera and Rizzo, 2004). Spatial and object-based attention underlie visual search and identification of visual objects, while attentional selection in working memory operates in selective and divided attention tasks, when multiple simultaneous stimuli or distracting or interfering stimuli are presented, as may be commonplace in daily life, especially during driving.

The UFOV task used in this current study provides a quantitative index of divided and spatial attention and visual processing speed that has demonstrated good sensitivity and specificity for predicting automobile crashes. Reduction of attentional field has been found in elderly subjects (Calvanio, 2004; Crabb, 2004; Sekuler, 2000) and reduced UFOV has been correlated with an increased crash frequency (Ball, 1993; Owsley, 1991). Abnormal UFOV has also been found in post-traumatic brain injury, Alzheimer’s disease, and Parkinson’s disease (Fisk, 2002; Rizzo, 2000; Uc, 2003). UFOV has been validated as a screening tool for road test failure (Myers, 2000) and has been utilized in retraining neurological patients in visuoperceptual driving skills (Mazer, 2001; 2003; Roenker, 2003).

UFOV subtest and total scores were increased in subjects with partial epilepsy compared to neurologically normal individuals of similar age, suggesting a greater crash risk in individuals with partial epilepsy, even in the absence of epileptic seizures. Chronic causes of impaired UFOV scores in drivers with epilepsy include processing speed reduction, divided and selective attention impairments, and mild superior quadrantic deficits due to surgical lesions of the inferior optic radiations running in Meyer’s loop. Episodic or transient cognitive impairments may be due to subtle simple or complex partial seizures, or interictal epileptiform discharges (Aldenkamp, 1996; 2004; Brandt, 1984; Fowler, 1987; Kostopoulos, 2001; Provinciali, 1991). Processing speed reduction has also been seen chronically in epilepsy subjects, and may in part be due to differential effects of antiepileptic drugs (Aldenkamp, 1994; Engelberts, 2002).
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